TECHNICAL NOTE - FUNCTIONAL NEUROSURGERY - OTHER



The value of intraoperative indocyanine green angiography in microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasm to avoid brainstem ischemia

Ahmed Al Menabbawy^{1,2} · Ehab El Refaee^{1,2} · Loay Shoubash¹ · Marc Matthes¹ · Henry W. S. Schroeder¹

Received: 10 July 2022 / Accepted: 1 October 2022 / Published online: 27 October 2022 © The Author(s) 2022, corrected publication 2022

Abstract

Purpose Despite being rarely reported, ischemic insults resulting from compromising small brainstem perforators following microvascular decompression (MVD) remain a potential devastating complication. To avoid this complication, we have been using indocyanine green (ICG) angiography intraoperatively to check the flow within the small brainstem perforators. We aim to evaluate the safety and usefulness of ICG videoangiography in MVD.

Methods We extracted retrospective data of patients who received ICG videoangiography from our prospectively maintained database for microvascular decompression. We noted relevant data including demographics, offending vessels, operative technique, outcome, and complications.

Results Out of the 438 patients, 15 patients with a mean age (SD) of 53 ± 10.5 years underwent intraoperative ICG angiography. Male:female was 1:1.14. The mean disease duration prior to surgery was 7.7 ± 5.3 years. The mean follow-up (SD) was 50.7 ± 42.0 months. In 14 patients, the offending vessel was an artery, and in one patient, a vein. Intraoperative readjustment of the Teflon pledget or sling was required in 20% (3/15) of the cases. No patient had any sort of brainstem ischemia. Eighty percent of the patients (12/15) experienced complete resolution of the spasms. 86.7% (13/15) of the patients reported a satisfactory outcome with marked improvement of the spasms. Three patients experienced slight hearing affection after surgery, which improved in two patients later. There was no facial or lower cranial nerve affection.

Conclusion Intraoperative ICG is a safe tool for evaluating the flow within the brain stem perforators and avoiding brainstem ischemia in MVD for hemifacial spasm.

Keywords Microvascular decompression \cdot Hemifacial spasm \cdot Brainstem ischemia \cdot Indocyanine green videoangiography \cdot Sling decompression technique \cdot Brainstem perforators

Note: One case of the 15 cases here was presented at the European Association for Neurosurgery annual meeting (EANS) 2021.

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Functional Neurosurgery – Other*

Ahmed Al Menabbawy ahmed.almenabbawy@med.uni-greifswald.de

- ¹ Department of Neurosurgery, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany
- ² Department of Neurosurgery, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

Introduction

Microvascular decompression (MVD) is the most effective modality for the treatment of hemifacial spasm (HFS) with a low rate of complications [16, 18, 24]. However, compressions caused by large or even megadolichoectatic vertebral arteries are challenging pathologies that sometimes may not sufficiently be decompressed by simply interposing Teflon pledgets [6, 8][8, 12]. For this reason, in some patients, transposition of the compressing vessel is inevitable to strive for adequate nerve decompression [6, 8, 13]. During the transposition of the compressing vessel, small brainstem perforators might be endangered. It is notable that even the slightest stretching or kinking might impede adequate blood flow and subsequent perfusion of vital areas supplied by these tiny vessels. In some cases,

Acta Neurochirurgica (2023) 165:747–755

and in spite of the intraoperative visual impeccability of the perforators, blood flow and tissue perfusion impairment might still take place. Intraoperative Doppler might be helpful in evaluating larger arteries, but blood flow in small perforators is very difficult to be assessed. Such challenges might result in ischemic insults to the brainstem and drastic sequelae [10, 19].

After having experienced one patient with a brainstem ischemia after transposing the vertebral artery with a Gortex sling, we adopted the intraoperative indocyanine green (ICG) angiography [17] whenever a brainstem perforator is at risk of hypoperfusion. Since ICG in MVD has not been adequately reviewed in the literature, we present our technique assessment and experience with ICG in our series and evaluate its influence on the outcome and complication rates [23].

Methods

Study design and data collection

This study was approved by the local institutional ethical committee and was performed in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments. Written patients' consents were obtained preoperatively. All data were collected prospectively and recorded on our institutional database. The retrospective review of our prospectively maintained database took place for patients undergoing MVD for HFS. Out of 438 patients, only patients who received intraoperative ICG angiography in the period between April 2005 and May 2021 were analyzed. The following data were extracted: age at the time of operation, sex of the patient, duration of the disease, the side of HFS, the offending vessels, the technique for decompression (shredded Teflon or transposing with a sling), complications, and outcome. All these data are available in Table 1. We also reviewed the operative reports concerning whether a correction/repositioning of the decompression (either position of the Teflon pledgets or sling) was performed following intraoperative ICG angiography or not.

Additionally, measurements of the vertebrobasilar arterial system were done on MRI images. We measured the maximum diameter of the vertebrobasilar arterial system on the side of the lesion. We also noted the presence of tortuosity of the vertebrobasilar system on the site of the lesion and accordingly identified and reported criteria for dolichoectasia of the vertebrobasilar arterial system whenever present according to the Smoker's criteria. The criteria included a diameter of 4.5 mm or more of the vessel (ectasia), evident tortuosity, or laterality of the basilar artery on the site of the disease (Dolicho) [3, 20].

Outcome measurements

The outcome was divided into 4 grades: (1) excellent (hemifacial spasm completely absent), (2) good (hemifacial spasm > 90% resolved), (3) fair (hemifacial spasm 50-90% resolved), and (4) poor (hemifacial spasm < 50% resolved). Ninety percent improvement means that no visible spasms occurred, or rarely slight spasms were observed that did not occur daily. Complications in general were reported and especially facial weakness or hearing loss following the MVD.

Surgical technique and strategy

All MVDs were performed by the senior author of this study through a lower retrosigmoid approach in a supine position under the monitoring of facial electromyography (EMG) and of brainstem auditory evoked potentials (BAEP). The lateral spread response was recorded routinely. Depending on the anatomical situation, the decompression was achieved by transposing the vessel using shredded Teflon. When the offending vessel was causing a major compression which could not be relieved by shredded Teflon pledgets alone, a Gortex or Teflon sling was used to transpose the vessel. The sling was fixed to the basal dura with the aid of an aneurysm clip or suture. Whenever a compromise of blood flow within the offending vessel or a nearby perforator was suspected, we performed an intraoperative ICG videoangiography and accordingly the needed corrections of the decompression.

Results

Out of the total 438 patients' data entries, intraoperative ICG videoangiography was performed in 15 patients. A summary of patient demographics, offending vessels, complications, follow-up, and outcome is shown in Table 1. The comparison of the ICG angiography group vs the non-ICG angiography group is tabulated in Table 2.

The ages of the patients ranged from 30 to 70 years with an average of 53 ± 10.5 (SD) years. There were eight females (53.3%) and seven males (46.7%). Duration of the disease until the date of surgery ranged from 1 to 17 years with a mean duration of 7.7 ± 5.3 years. The spasm was on the right side in eight patients (53.3%).

The anterior inferior cerebellar artery (AICA) was the offending vessel in four patients (26.7%), the posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) in three patients (20%), the vertebral artery (VA) in one patient (6.7%),

Table 1 Showing patients' demographics, surgical data, and postoperative outcome

	symptoms				(mm)				improvement		ischemia	affection		
48	1	Left	AICA	Teflon	2.3	No	Yes	No	Fair	Yes	No	Yes	No	111
58	8	Left	Vein	Sling	3.9	No	No	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	96
65	17	Left	Vertebral + AICA	Teflon	3	Yes	Yes	No	Excellent	No	No	Yes	No	76
30	4	Right	Vertebral + PICA	Teflon	NA	NA	NA	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	71
70	4	Right	PICA	Teflon	5	No	No	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	94
46	11	Right	AICA	Sling	3	Yes	No	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	101
58	11	Right	AICA+PICA	Teflon	2	Yes	No	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	75
58	15	Left	PICA + Arachnoid	Teflon	3	No	No	Yes	Fair	No	No	No	No	30
			bands											
54	14	Right	PICA	Teflon	4	Yes	Yes	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	28
63	8	Left	Vertebral	Sling	7.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Excellent	No	No	No	No	20
46	5	Left	AICA	Teflon + coag-	2	No	No	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	19
				ulation of										
				ectatic vein										
59	2	Right	Vertebral + AICA	Sling	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Excellent	No	No	No	No	10
55	12	Right	AICA	Sling	2.9	No	No	No	Excellent	Yes	No	Yes	No	4
45	1	Left	Vertebral + PICA	Sling	5.3	Yes	Yes	No	Good	No	No	No	No	2
40	3	Right	PICA	Sling	2.9	No	No	No	Excellent	No	No	No	No	2
erior	cerebellar arte ost On. postor	ery; <i>Con</i> perative:	<i>np.</i> , complications; <i>F</i> ,	female; FU ($m_{,}$), follow-up edded Teflor	in mor	iths; <i>L</i>	, laters	ality of the vertu	ebral artery; M, r vimum diameter	nale; NA, nc of the vertel	ot available	; PICA, poster rteries: Post-c	ior infe-
	58 65 70 70 65 55 66 65 55 75 75 80 55 94 60 140 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 10	 58 8 65 17 65 17 30 4 70 4 46 11 58 15 59 2 50 2 50 2 51 2 51 2 52 12 53 12 54 14 54 1 54 1 54 1 55 12 56 12 57 12 58 15 58 15 59 2 50 2 50 2 51 2 51 2 52 2 53 15 54 14 54 1 55 12 55 12 56 12 57 12 58 15 59 2 50 2	58 8 Left 65 17 Left 30 4 Right 70 4 Right 70 4 Right 58 11 Right 58 15 Left 58 15 Left 63 8 Left 64 5 Right 57 2 Right 59 2 Right 57 12 Right 57 12 Right 57 12 Right 57 2 Right 57 3 Right 57 1 Left 45 1 Left 40 3 Right	588LeftVein6517LeftVertebral + AICA304RightVertebral + PICA704RightPICA4611RightAICA5815LeftPICA + Arachnoid5815LeftPICA + Arachnoid638LeftVertebral465LeftVertebral5314RightPICA638LeftVertebral645LeftVertebral652RightAICA5612RightAICA571LeftVertebral + PICA603RightAICA572RightAICA603RightPICA612RightAICA5712RightAICA603RightPICA603RightPICA603RightPICA612RightPICA6212RightPICA643RightPICA6512RightPICA663RightPICA673RightPICA6868RefRef6870PICA6870PICA6888PICA6888PICA6888PICA6888 <td< td=""><td>588LeftVeinSling6517LeftVertebral+AICATeflon304RightVertebral+PICATeflon704RightPICATeflon4611RightAICASling5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon5816PICA+ArachnoidTeflon5817RightPICATeflon5816PICATeflonSling5812RightPICATeflon5814RightPICATeflon538LeftVertebralSling65LeftVertebralSling562RightPICASling5712RightAICASling5512RightAICASling561Vertebral+PICASling572RightAICASling571LeftVertebral+PICASling571LeftVertebral+PICASling571NightPICASling572RightPICASling581PICASlingSling592RightPICASling503RightPICASling503RightPICASling503RightPIC</td><td>588LeftVeinSling3.96517LeftVertebral+AICATeflon3304RightVertebral+PICATeflon3704RightPICATeflon54611RightAICASling35815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon25815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon25815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon25816PICATeflon25817VertebralSling7.55414RightPICATeflon4638LeftVertebralSling7.5465LeftVertebralSling7.5538LeftVertebralSling5.55414RightPICASling5.55512RightVertebral+AICASling5.3451LeftVertebral+PICASling5.35512RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3<</td><td>588LeftVeinSling3.9No6517LeftVertebral+AICATefton3Yes304RightVertebral+PICATefton5No704RightVertebral+PICATefton5No4611RightAICASling3Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton3No5816PICATefton2Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton3No5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton3No5815LeftPICATefton2Yes538LeftPICATefton4Yes465LeftAICASling7.5Yes538LeftPICASling5.9No541Ketbral+AICASling5.3Yes5512RightVertebral+PICASling5.3Yes451LeftVertebral+PICASling5.3Yes451LeftVertebral+PICASling5.3Yes451Vertebral+PICASling5.3Yes463RightPICASling5.3<</td><td>588LeftVeinSling3.9NoNoNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATefton3YesYesYes304RightVertebral+PICATefton3YesNoNo704RightVertebral+PICATefton5NoNoNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNo5811RightAICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesNoNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesYesYes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton3NoNoNo5816PICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesYesYes5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNo5816PICATefton5YesYesYes592RightVertebral+AICASling5YesYesYes5512RightAICASling5YesYesYes541LeftVertebral+PICASling5YesYesYes512RightAICASling5YesYesYes53YesYesSling5YesYesYesYes541LeftVertebral+PICASling<t< td=""><td>588LeftVeinSling3.9NoNoNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATefton3YesYesNo304RightVertebral+PICATefton3YesNoNo704RightVertebral+PICATefton5NoNoNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNo5811RightAICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesNoNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesYesYes5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5814RightPICATefton2YesYesYes538LeftVertebralSling7.5YesYesYes5414RightPICASling7.5YesYesYes552RightVertebral+AICASling7.5YesYesYes5612RightVertebral+AICASling5.3YesYesYes5712RightNoNo</td></t<><td>58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No No No Excellent 65 17 Left Vertebral+AICA Tefton 3 Yes Yes No No Excellent 30 4 Right Vertebral+AICA Tefton 3 Yes No No No No Excellent 30 4 Right AICA Tefton 5 No No No No No No Excellent 46 11 Right PICA Tefton 2 Yes No No Excellent 58 15 Left PICA+Arachnoid Tefton 3 No No No Excellent 58 14 Right PICA Tefton 3 No No No Excellent 53 8 Left Vertebral Sling 7.5 Yes Yes Excellent 56 5 Kes No No No No No No Excellent</td><td>588LeftVeinSling3.9NoNoExcellentNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATeflon3YesYesNoExcellentNo304RightVertebral+AICATeflon3YesNoNoExcellentNo704RightPICATeflon5NoNoExcellentNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNoExcellentNo5811RightAICATeflon2YesNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon2YesNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon3NoNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon4YesYesYesEarlentNo5814RightPICATeflon4YesYesYesYesNo5614RightPICATeflon+coage2NoNoExcellentNo5612RightPICASing7.5YesYesYesYes572YesYesYesYesYesYesYes5812RightPICASing5.3YesYesYes5812RightAICASin</td><td>58 8 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No Excellent No No</td><td>58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No Excellent No N</td><td>58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No No Excellent No N</td></td></td<>	588LeftVeinSling6517LeftVertebral+AICATeflon304RightVertebral+PICATeflon704RightPICATeflon4611RightAICASling5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon5816PICA+ArachnoidTeflon5817RightPICATeflon5816PICATeflonSling5812RightPICATeflon5814RightPICATeflon538LeftVertebralSling65LeftVertebralSling562RightPICASling5712RightAICASling5512RightAICASling561Vertebral+PICASling572RightAICASling571LeftVertebral+PICASling571LeftVertebral+PICASling571NightPICASling572RightPICASling581PICASlingSling592RightPICASling503RightPICASling503RightPICASling503RightPIC	588LeftVeinSling3.96517LeftVertebral+AICATeflon3304RightVertebral+PICATeflon3704RightPICATeflon54611RightAICASling35815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon25815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon25815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon25816PICATeflon25817VertebralSling7.55414RightPICATeflon4638LeftVertebralSling7.5465LeftVertebralSling7.5538LeftVertebralSling5.55414RightPICASling5.55512RightVertebral+AICASling5.3451LeftVertebral+PICASling5.35512RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3403RightPICASling5.3<	588LeftVeinSling3.9No6517LeftVertebral+AICATefton3Yes304RightVertebral+PICATefton5No704RightVertebral+PICATefton5No4611RightAICASling3Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton3No5816PICATefton2Yes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton3No5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton3No5815LeftPICATefton2Yes538LeftPICATefton4Yes465LeftAICASling7.5Yes538LeftPICASling5.9No541Ketbral+AICASling5.3Yes5512RightVertebral+PICASling5.3Yes451LeftVertebral+PICASling5.3Yes451LeftVertebral+PICASling5.3Yes451Vertebral+PICASling5.3Yes463RightPICASling5.3<	588LeftVeinSling 3.9 NoNoNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATefton 3 YesYesYes304RightVertebral+PICATefton 3 YesNoNo704RightVertebral+PICATefton 5 NoNoNo704RightAICASling 3 YesNoNo5811RightAICA+ArachnoidTefton 2 YesNoNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton 2 YesYesYes5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton 3 NoNoNo5816PICA+ArachnoidTefton 2 YesYesYes5815LeftPICATefton 3 NoNoNo5816PICATefton 5 YesYesYes592RightVertebral+AICASling 5 YesYesYes5512RightAICASling 5 YesYesYes541LeftVertebral+PICASling 5 YesYesYes512RightAICASling 5 YesYesYes53YesYesSling 5 YesYesYesYes541LeftVertebral+PICASling <t< td=""><td>588LeftVeinSling3.9NoNoNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATefton3YesYesNo304RightVertebral+PICATefton3YesNoNo704RightVertebral+PICATefton5NoNoNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNo5811RightAICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesNoNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesYesYes5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5814RightPICATefton2YesYesYes538LeftVertebralSling7.5YesYesYes5414RightPICASling7.5YesYesYes552RightVertebral+AICASling7.5YesYesYes5612RightVertebral+AICASling5.3YesYesYes5712RightNoNo</td></t<> <td>58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No No No Excellent 65 17 Left Vertebral+AICA Tefton 3 Yes Yes No No Excellent 30 4 Right Vertebral+AICA Tefton 3 Yes No No No No Excellent 30 4 Right AICA Tefton 5 No No No No No No Excellent 46 11 Right PICA Tefton 2 Yes No No Excellent 58 15 Left PICA+Arachnoid Tefton 3 No No No Excellent 58 14 Right PICA Tefton 3 No No No Excellent 53 8 Left Vertebral Sling 7.5 Yes Yes Excellent 56 5 Kes No No No No No No Excellent</td> <td>588LeftVeinSling3.9NoNoExcellentNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATeflon3YesYesNoExcellentNo304RightVertebral+AICATeflon3YesNoNoExcellentNo704RightPICATeflon5NoNoExcellentNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNoExcellentNo5811RightAICATeflon2YesNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon2YesNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon3NoNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon4YesYesYesEarlentNo5814RightPICATeflon4YesYesYesYesNo5614RightPICATeflon+coage2NoNoExcellentNo5612RightPICASing7.5YesYesYesYes572YesYesYesYesYesYesYes5812RightPICASing5.3YesYesYes5812RightAICASin</td> <td>58 8 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No Excellent No No</td> <td>58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No Excellent No N</td> <td>58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No No Excellent No N</td>	588LeftVeinSling3.9NoNoNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATefton3YesYesNo304RightVertebral+PICATefton3YesNoNo704RightVertebral+PICATefton5NoNoNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNo5811RightAICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesNoNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTefton2YesYesYes5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5815LeftPICATefton3NoNoNoNo5814RightPICATefton2YesYesYes538LeftVertebralSling7.5YesYesYes5414RightPICASling7.5YesYesYes552RightVertebral+AICASling7.5YesYesYes5612RightVertebral+AICASling5.3YesYesYes5712RightNoNo	58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No No No Excellent 65 17 Left Vertebral+AICA Tefton 3 Yes Yes No No Excellent 30 4 Right Vertebral+AICA Tefton 3 Yes No No No No Excellent 30 4 Right AICA Tefton 5 No No No No No No Excellent 46 11 Right PICA Tefton 2 Yes No No Excellent 58 15 Left PICA+Arachnoid Tefton 3 No No No Excellent 58 14 Right PICA Tefton 3 No No No Excellent 53 8 Left Vertebral Sling 7.5 Yes Yes Excellent 56 5 Kes No No No No No No Excellent	588LeftVeinSling3.9NoNoExcellentNo6517LeftVertebral+AICATeflon3YesYesNoExcellentNo304RightVertebral+AICATeflon3YesNoNoExcellentNo704RightPICATeflon5NoNoExcellentNo704RightAICASling3YesNoNoExcellentNo5811RightAICATeflon2YesNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon2YesNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon3NoNoNoExcellentNo5815LeftPICA+ArachnoidTeflon4YesYesYesEarlentNo5814RightPICATeflon4YesYesYesYesNo5614RightPICATeflon+coage2NoNoExcellentNo5612RightPICASing7.5YesYesYesYes572YesYesYesYesYesYesYes5812RightPICASing5.3YesYesYes5812RightAICASin	58 8 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No Excellent No No	58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No Excellent No N	58 Left Vein Sling 3.9 No No No Excellent No N



Table 2 Angiography group vs non-angiography group

	Angiography group	Non-angiography group	Total
Number	15	423	438
Mean age (SD)	53 ± 10.5	55.2 ± 11.4	55.1 ± 11.6
Males (%)	7 (46.67%)	162 (38.3%)	169 (38.6%)
Mean symptoms duration (SD)	7.73 (5.34)	7.9 (5.2)	7.89 (5.2)
Left sided	7 (46.67%)	259 (61.2%)	266 (60.7%)
Dolicoectasia	8 (60%)	21 (4.96%)	29 (6.62%)
Vessel transposition (sling technique)	7 (46.67%)	37 (8.74%)	44 (10.0%)
Excellent outcome (cure)	12 (80%)	308 (72.8%)	320 (73.1%)
Facial palsy	0	35 (8.27%)	35 (7.99%)
Hearing affection	3 (20%)	55 (13.0%)	58 (13.2%)
Brainstem ischemia	0	1 (0.24%)	1 (0.23%)

VA + AICA and VA + PICA in two patients each (13.3%), AICA + PICA, AICA + arachnoid, and pure venous compression in one patient each (6.7%) [7].

Nine patients (60%) fulfilled one or more of the criteria of dolichoectasia of the vertebrobasilar system. Analysis of the diameter of the vertebrobasilar system on MR images revealed that four patients had a maximum measured diameter>4.5 mm. In eight patients, radiological tortuosity of the vertebrobasilar system was evident and evident lateralization of the vertebrobasilar system was noted in seven of these 8 patients with evident tortuosity as shown in Table 1 [20, 21].

Decompression by transposition of the offending vessel with sling technique was necessary in seven patients (46.7%). In another seven patients (46.7%) shredded Teflon was used, while in 1 patient, shredded Teflon together with coagulation of an ectatic vein were performed (patient number 11, Table 1). The sling technique was also used in the patient with venous compression where the vein could be successfully displaced using a Teflon sling (patient number 2, Table 1). Readjusting the decompression following ICG angiography was necessary in 3 patients (20%) due to evident delayed filling of one or more perforators. In 1 patient, the shredded Teflon had to be repositioned, and in the other 2 patients, re-positioning of the sling was necessary where also dolichoectasia was evident (Table 1).

The follow-up period ranged from 2 to 111 months with an average of 50.7 ± 42.0 (SD) months. No signs or symptoms or even radiological evidence of brainstem ischemia was noted in any patient. In the two patients in whom readjustment of the sling was performed, complete resolution of the spasms was evident at the last available follow-up as shown in Table 1. In the patient where re-positioning of the shredded Teflon was done, she experienced fair improvement of the symptoms after her second operation. In total, 12 patients (80%) had excellent outcomes with 100% resolution of the symptoms at the last follow-up. One patient (6.7%) had a good outcome with > 90% resolution of the spasms. This makes the favorable outcome reach 86.7%. Two patients

experienced only some improvement of the symptoms with a fair outcome (13.3%), and no patients had a poor outcome with less than 50% improvement of the spasms.

In the three patients, where re-correction of the sling or shredded Teflon was done, no complications occurred. Among the whole series, only 3 patients suffered from a postoperative complication which was a slight ipsilateral hearing affection on the side of the operation. In 2 patients, this hearing loss was temporary, and only in 1 patient (patient 3), the hearing loss was permanent but with remaining useful hearing. No postoperative facial nerve weakness was observed in any of the patients.

Case presentation

We present one of the encountered patients (patient 9) where correction of the applied sling was mandatory to keep an adequate blood flow through a small brainstem perforator. The patient is a 59-year-old female who had suffered from a progressive right hemifacial spasm for 2 years. She tried Botox therapy but was unsatisfied with its outcome. MR images showed clearly a compression of the facial exit zone by AICA and VA loop (Fig. 1).

Intraoperatively, a severe compression of the facial nerve by a prominent vertebral artery was seen. Endoscopic inspection under the slight elevation of the vertebral artery loop using a microdissector could identify additionally a clear compression of the facial nerve at the brainstem by AICA (Fig. 2B). The vertebral artery (VA) itself pushed the AICA toward the facial nerve and compressed it (double and sandwich compression). An attempt to decompress the VA by placing shredded Teflon failed. Therefore, a Gortex sling was created to pull the vessel laterally and posteriorly away from the facial root exit zone (REZ). Dissection of the VA in the area where the sling was placed revealed a tiny perforator (Fig. 2C). The sling was placed around the VA and pulled toward **Fig. 1** Preoperative MR imaging with TOF (left) and CISS (right) axial images showing a compression of the facial nerve root exit zone (arrow) by AICA (A) and VA (VA)

Fig. 2 Intraoperative images. **A** Severe compression of the facial nerve by a dilated VA (VA), VIII (vestibulocochlear nerve), and A (AICA). **B** Endoscopic inspection after the elevation of the VA shows an additional compression of the facial REZ by AICA (A) and VIII (vestibulocochlear nerve). **C** Tiny perforator (P) running over VA (VA). **D** Decompression of the VA by sling which was fixed to the dura with a Yasargil mini clip



the skull base (Fig. 3). After the preparation of a dura pocket between the internal auditory canal and the jugular foramen, the sling was fixed to the dura with a Yasargil mini clip (Figs. 2D and 3). The vertebral artery could be drawn very nicely to the skull base so that there was no contact with the facial/vestibulocochlear nerve complex.

However, the small perforating artery looked somewhat stretched (Fig. 4A). Therefore, the decision was made to check the blood flow with the aid of ICG angiography. The angiography showed a delayed filling compared to AICA and VA (Fig. 4B and C and Video 1). Therefore, the clip was opened, and the sling was a little bit released

after the operation. At the 10-month follow-up, the patient was spasm-free with normal hearing and facial function.

Discussion

The main finding of this study is stressing on the safety and usefulness of intraoperative ICG angiography in MVD especially in cases with complicated anatomy of the posterior fossa and dolichoectasia of the vertebrobasilar system. The performance of ICG angiography in these cases can adequately assess the blood flow in the small perforators and guide further adjustments in the MVD technique accordingly (Fig. 4 and Video 1).

Intraoperative live-time ICG angiography during MVD is not adequately reported in the literature. Moreover, its usefulness in guiding the surgeon to readjust the decompression technique has not been adequately evaluated. Despite the effectiveness of MVD in treating cranial nerve–vascular compression pathologies, some cases remain challenging, and decompression using shredded Teflon or Teflon pledgets is sometimes inadequate in achieving appropriate decompression. In fact, anatomical variations of the posterior fossa anatomy and the presence of abnormal dilatation and tortuosity of the posterior circulation may hinder or even complicate the procedure of neurovascular decompression.

Fig. 4 Microscopic and intraoperative indocyanine green angiography images over time. **A** The small perforating artery (arrow) looked somewhat stretched after the transposition of the VA (VA) by the Gortex sling (VIII, vestibulocochlear nerve). **B** and **C** Preadjustment time sequence of ICG angiography images showing a delayed filling of the perforator (arrow) compared to VA. Initially, the perforator is

still dark although VA is already bright. Only in the late image, the perforator and VA and other vessels are bright. **D** reduced stretch on the perforator (arrow) after the release of the sling. **E** and **F** Postadjustemt time sequence images of ICG angiography showed a timely filling of the perforator (arrow) compared to VA



Fig. 3 Sketch illustrating the sling decompression/vessel transposition technique of the vertebral artery (blue arrow) using a microvascular clip attached to a pocket of the dura of the skull base (blue star). The endangered perforating artery is referred to with a green arrow

so that the stretch on the perforator was reduced. After the readjustment of the sling, the ICA angiography showed a timely filling at the same time as AICA and VA (Fig. 4E and F). Then, the AICA loop was mobilized and decompressed with shredded Teflon. The postoperative course was uneventful with no complications. The patient experienced a complete resolution of the symptoms immediately

In one study, the authors analyzed the different conflicting vessels responsible for the HFS in the literature as well as their own cases. Some studies among this review stressed on the role of posterior fossa conformation in causing HFS. Also, the conflicting vessel or vessels in cases of double compression pathology might add to the complexity of the operation. Although most of the compressions are caused by arterial conflicting vessels (usually PICA or AICA), we had only one case of pure venous compression and another case with venous compression combined with vertebral artery compression, and some series reported up to 4.5% of cases with venous compression as the conflicting vessel [2, 4–6, 11, 14, 16].

At this point, more aggressive transposition techniques using larger Teflon pledgets or displacing the compressing artery using a sling technique are required to achieve an adequate decompression. We prefer the sling technique where the sling is fixed with an aneurysmal clip to the dura of the skull base, and easy adjustment of the clip can be done [1, 6, 22]. However, great care must be given to small perforating branches that might be compromised by the relocation of the compressing mother vessel. That is why some authors questioned the safety of performing such sling decompressions like in a recent study because of the possibility of brainstem ischemia [10, 19].

In transposition maneuvers and despite extreme care, some stretch might be exerted on one or more of the brainstem perforators especially in cases of dolichoectatic basilar and vertebral arteries. In such cases, we recommend intraoperative ICG videoangiography in order to evaluate the blood flow in the small perforators, which the surgeon's eye and Doppler sonography cannot assess.

Although the decision for performing the ICG angiography was taken by the senior author whenever he suspected a compromise of the perforator(s), it is important to note that in these 15 patients, and after retrospectively analyzing them, the incidence of patients fulfilling one or more of the criteria of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia was quite high reaching 60% (8/15 patients). This is almost 10 times the normal incidence reported in other studies and in our own series (Table 2) where the incidence of the dolichoectatic vertebrobasilar system ranged from 0.5 to 6.6% [6, 8, 9] [11]. Additionally, in seven of the 15 patients, the sling technique was needed to achieve adequate decompression. We usually reserve this technique only for difficult and complex compressions, which means that the cases in this series were technically challenging.

On the other hand, the outcome of the patients is comparable to that of most other series with complete resolution approaching the 80% and satisfactory outcome exceeding the 85% with a low complication rate and no brainstem vascular insults despite the high complexity of the cases [2, 14, 15]. This elaborates on the effectiveness of the used decompression techniques as well as their safety ensured by the intraoperative ICG angiography without increasing the risk of associated complications. However, we should also stress that 15 patients are not a large number, and we recommend the adoption of this modality to our colleagues in order to have more patients to support its effectiveness.

Of course, it is not necessary to perform an ICG angiography in all cases of MVD as most of these procedures are straightforward, and the compressing vessels whether AICA or PICA can be easily repositioned using small pieces of shredded Teflon without the compromise of any perforators. However, we think that the ICG angiography technique is of great value whenever a transposition of a vessel with adjacent perforators is needed especially but not only restricted to cases with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. Whenever the surgeon is in doubt about the blood flow within a perforator and in cases of complex posterior fossa anatomy and dolichoectasia of the vertebrobasilar arterial system, ICG angiography should be used.

Conclusions

Intraoperative indocyanine green angiography is a safe modality that might be helpful in avoiding brainstem ischemia while evaluating blood flow in small perforating arteries while performing microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasm. The great value of ICG angiography can be depicted in technically demanding MVD mostly for the decompression of vertebrobasilar dolichoectatic vessels using a sling technique.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-022-05389-2.

Acknowledgements We would like to deeply thank Dr. Med. Sebastian Lehmann (University Medicine Greifswald) for the illustration of the sling technique in Fig. 3. Additionally, we would like to express our gratitude to PD Dr. Steffen Fleck and Prof. Dr. Jörg Baldauf (University Medicine Greifswald) for revising the final manuscript before submission.

Author contribution Conception and design: Al Menabbawy and Schroeder. Acquisition of data: Al Menabbawy and Matthes. Analysis and interpretation of data: Al Menabbawy, El Refaee, and Matthes. Drafting the article: Al Menabbawy, El Refaee, and Schroeder. Critically revising the article: Schroeder, El Refaee, Shoubash, and Al Menabbawy. Reviewed submitted version of manuscript: Schroeder, El Refaee, Al Menabbawy, and Shoubash. Approved the final version of the manuscript on behalf of all authors: Al Menabbawy. Statistical analysis: Al Menabbawy. Administrative/technical/material support: Matthes. Study supervision: Schroeder and El Refaee.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study was completely self-funded by the University Medicine Greifswald, and the authors do not have any other funding source to declare.

Declarations

Ethics approval All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the local institutional research committee (Ethical committee of the University Medicine Greifswald) and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Ethical committee approval number BB 101/16.

Consent to participate Although no data in this study could lead to public identification of the patients, all the participating patients signed consent to publication of their data.

Consent for publication "The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create summaries, extracts and/ or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where—ever it may be located; and, vi) licence any third party to do any or all of the above."

Conflict of interest We have read and understood Acta Neurochirurgica policy on the declaration of interests and declare that we have no competing interests. We have only to mention that Henry W. S. Schroeder was a consultant to Karl Storz SE & Co. KG, Tuttlingen, Germany.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Choudhri O, Connolly ID, Lawton MT (2017) Macrovascular decompression of the brainstem and cranial nerves: evolution of an anteromedial vertebrobasilar artery transposition technique. Neurosurgery 81(2):367–376
- Chung SS, Chang JH, Choi JY, Chang JW, Park YG (2001) Microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasm: a long-term follow-up of 1,169 consecutive cases. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 77(1–4):190–193
- Conradie JM, Bonnet EG (2021) Dolichoectasia and its diagnostic criteria: a case report and literature review. Cureus. https://doi.org/ 10.7759/cureus.12516
- El Damaty A, Rosenstengel C, Matthes M, Baldauf J, Dziemba O, Hosemann W, Schroeder HWS (2017) A new score to predict the risk of hearing impairment after microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasm. Neurosurgery 81(5):834–843

- El Refaee E, Langner S, Baldauf J, Matthes M, Kirsch M, Schroeder HWS (2013) Value of 3-dimensional high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging in detecting the offending vessel in hemifacial spasm: comparison with intraoperative high definition endoscopic visualization. Neurosurgery 73(1):58–67
- El Refaee E, Langner S, Marx S, Rosenstengel C, Baldauf J, Schroeder HWS (2019) Endoscope-assisted microvascular decompression for the management of hemifacial spasm caused by vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. World Neurosurg 121:e566–e575
- El Refaee E, Marx S, Rosenstengel C, Baldauf J, Schroeder HWS (2020) Arachnoid bands and venous compression as rare causes of hemifacial spasm: analysis of etiology in 353 patients. Acta Neurochir 162(1):211–219
- Kang J-H, Kang D-W, Chung SS, Chang JW (2012) The effect of microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasm caused by vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society 52(2):85
- Kim KJ, Kim J-M, Bae YJ, Bae HJ, Jeon B, Kim JH, Han JH, Oh CW (2016) The association between vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia and hemifacial spasm. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 32:54–59
- Kondo A, Shimano H, Yasuda S, Inoue H, Ihata T (2021) Brainstem infarction triggered by the sling technique to treat trigeminal neuralgia caused by a huge vertebrobasilar artery compression. Interdisciplinary Neurosurgery 23:100932
- Mercier P, Sindou M (2018) The conflicting vessels in hemifacial spasm: literature review and anatomical-surgical implications. Neurochirurgie 64(2):94–100
- Miyazawa T, Ito M, Yasumoto Y (2009) Peduncular hallucinosis following microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia without direct brainstem injury: case report. Acta Neurochir 151(3):285–286
- Munich SA, Morcos JJ (2018) "Macrovascular" decompression of dolichoectatic vertebral artery causing hemifacial spasm using Goretex sling: 2-dimensional operative video. Oper Neurosurg (Hagerstown) 16(2):267–268
- Park JS, Kong D-S, Lee J-A, Park K (2008) Hemifacial spasm: neurovascular compressive patterns and surgical significance. Acta Neurochir 150(3):235–41; discussion 241
- Ray DK, Bahgat D, McCartney S, Burchiel KJ (2010) Surgical outcome and improvement in quality of life after microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasms: a case series assessment using a validated disease-specific scale. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 88(6):383–389
- Refaee EE, Rosenstengel C, Baldauf J, Pillich DT, Matthes M, Schroeder HWS (2018) Microvascular decompression for patients with hemifacial spasm associated with common trunk anomaly of the cerebellar arteries-case study and review of literature. Oper Neurosurg (Hagerstown) 14(2):121–127
- Riva M, Amin-Hanjani S, Giussani C, De Witte O, Bruneau M (2018) Indocyanine green videoangiography in aneurysm surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosurgery 83(2):166–180
- Rosenstengel C, Matthes M, Baldauf J, Fleck S, Schroeder H (2012) Hemifacial spasm: conservative and surgical treatment options. Dtsch Arztebl Int 109(41):667
- Singh D, Jagetia A, Sinha S (2006) Brain stem infarction: a complication of microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia. Neurol India 54(3):325–326
- Smoker WR, Corbett JJ, Gentry LR, Keyes WD, Price MJ, McKusker S (1986) High-resolution computed tomography of the basilar artery: 2. Vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia: clinicalpathologic correlation and review. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 7(1):61–72
- 21. Wolters FJ, Rinkel GJE, Vergouwen MDI (2013) Clinical course and treatment of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia: a systematic review of the literature. Neurol Res 35(2):131–137

- Yang D, Tao C, Zhou S, Wang Z (2018) The outcome of sling retraction technique in microvascular decompression for hemifacial spasm. J Craniofac Surg 29(8):e764–e767
- Zhang H, Fu W-M, Chen P, Shi J (2014) Intraoperative indocyanine green angiography during microvascular decompression surgery: report of 30 cases. Acta Neurochir 156(8):1561–1564
- Zhang H, Lei D, You C, Mao B-Y, Wu B, Fang Y (2013) The long-term outcome predictors of pure microvascular decompression for primary trigeminal neuralgia. World Neurosurgery 79(5–6):756–762

Comments

The authors of this paper describe the application of a commonly used modality to intraoperatively check blood flow in the manipulated arterial vessels—the indocyanine green (ICG) angiography—in the setting of microvascular decompression (MVD) surgery. They were able to detect flow impairment in 3 out of 15 patients and corrected the situation by adjustment of the Teflon pledgets. Although it remains unclear whether such adjustment resulted in any improvement of surgical outcome, this corrective action has possibly prevented the development of future ischemic complications.

In the world of vascular neurosurgery—be that surgery for aneurysms or in the creation of bypass conduits—ICG angiography is routinely used to check patency of the vessels and confirm aneurysm obliteration, but I am not aware of this application in the commonly performed MVDs. It is, however, a very straightforward maneuver as most MVDs are now done with surgical microscopes that are capable of performing ICG visualization. I therefore applaud the authors for suggesting this step and showing its safety and efficacy in a sizeable cohort of patients.

Konstantin Slavin, Chicago, USA

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.